

Retentionism and contact English varieties: the case of *be having to*

Debra Ziegeler, Université de Montpellier, Université Sorbonne Nouvelle,
Carmelo Alessandro Basile, Université de Paris,
Christophe Lenoble, Université Sorbonne Nouvelle

The phenomenon of retentionism or colonial ‘lag’ (in contact varieties of a language) has been investigated in previous research such as Siemund (2004), and Pietsch (2009) as referring to variables that, having been transmitted from the lexifier at the time of contact, are retained intact in the recipient variety without significant change while their counterparts in the lexifier source undergo significant changes or grammaticalization. A well-known example is the medial perfect in Irish English which has been said to at least partially reflect a construction found in 17th century mainstream English (Harris 1991, Siemund 2004, Pietsch 2009), and has remained unchanged in Irish English ever since, while its counterpart in its non-contact source varieties is no longer used (see Brinton 1994). Another study (Kuteva et al 2018) reveals that a colonial British English archaism, the discourse particle *what*, was retained in Singapore English but has gone on to grammaticalize further in Singapore English than in the British English source varieties, also illustrating an example of a specific type of development which could not have taken place outside the contact situation (see Thomason (2001: 62), Pietsch (2009: 530). Although such accounts have often been held to question, they mainly deal with features that were present in the pre-contact English source varieties. What is less often researched in new, contact English dialects are features that were *absent* at the time of contact and have only emerged in the source varieties subsequent to contact. A case in point is the sentence-final pragmatic particle *though*, an item which was rarely used before the 1990s (Lenker (2010: 201), Traugott (2016: 53)), but is frequently used nowadays.

A preliminary investigation of the first 125 files of the ICE-corpora reveals that sentence-final *though* appears to be less frequently used in new, contact varieties of English such as Singapore English and Indian English; in fact, it is one and a half times less frequent in Singapore English than in British English, and 20 times less frequent in Indian English. This raises the question whether the absence of a feature at the time of contact could affect its frequency in the contact dialect at later stages of development.

The present paper will compare data from the ICE and other international corpora of English with historical data from historical corpora such as the CLMETEV corpus to survey the use of deontic *have to*, a semi-modal which is increasingly occurring in the progressive (*be having to*) across many English dialects. While a hypothesis of retentionism proposes that a feature present in the pre-contact source language at the time of contact may be conserved in the recipient contact variety long after it has become obsolete in the source language, it stands to reason that a feature that was *absent* from the lexifier source at the time of contact may be less frequently found in the dialect at later stages, due to colonial lag. A retentionist account should thus not only investigate the conservation of archaisms and relics present in the past history of the lexifier source, but also consider the preservation of former diachronic states of the lexifier source dialect at contact time.

References

- Brinton, L. 1994. The differentiation of statives and perfects in Early Modern English: The development of the conclusive perfect. In D. Stein & I. Tiekens Boon van Ostade (Eds.), *Towards a Standard English 1600-1800* (pp. 135-170). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Harris, J. 1991. Conservatism versus substratal transfer in Irish English. In P. Trudgill & J.K. Chambers (Eds.), *Dialects of English. Studies in grammatical variation* (pp. 191–212). New

York: Longman.

Kuteva, T., Rhee, S., Ziegeler, D. & Sabban J. (2018). Are you the queen of England, or what?: On sentence-final “what” in Singlish. *Journal of Language Contact* 11, 32–70.

Lenker, Ursula. 2010. *Argument and rhetoric: Adverbial connectors in the history of English*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Pietsch, L. 2009. Hiberno-English medial-object perfects reconsidered: A case of contact induced grammaticalization. *Studies in Language* 33, 528–568.

Siemund, P. 2004. Substrate, superstrate, and universals. Perfect constructions in Irish English. In B. Kortmann (Ed.), *Dialectology meets typology* (pp. 401–434). Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Thomason, S. 2001. *Language contact: An introduction*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

Traugott, Elizabeth Closs. 2016. On the rise of types of clause-final pragmatic markers in English. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics* 17, 26-54.